Style Assignment Group 11A

**Original:**

This study has been conducted after we have had several meetings with our client, in which we discussed exactly the way and setting in which the final product will be used, to properly understand and assess the practicality of the proposed project. The final analysis has been done after considering multiple factors some of which are technical, operational and time- related.

**Improved with Single Idea Principle:**

This study has been conducted after we have had several meetings with our client. In these meetings we discussed how our product will be used, to properly understand and asses the practicality of the proposed project. The final analysis has been done after considering multiple factors, such as technical, operational and time-related.

The first sentence was split into two, making them easier to read and understand. The final sentence was also changed to be shorter and more digestible.

**Original:**

In conclusion, since all the aforementioned factors were met, this project was feasible. As a team, it was our responsibility to make sure we considered everything and respected all feasibility factors to deliver a viable product in time.

**Improved with Strong Order Principle:**

In conclusion this project was feasible, given that all the aforementioned factors were met. As a team, it was our responsibility to make sure we considered everything and respected all feasibility factors to deliver a viable product in time.

The first sentence was changed to include the topic at the beginning. This paragraph is about concluding the feasibility study, thus there is a stronger order if this is mentioned in a continuous phrase, and the extra information can be moved to the end

**Original:**

In this section, we evaluate if this can be a viable and useful product by determining the factors and context in which it can be successfully used. Afterwards, we will look over the use cases of the system. We can ask ourselves questions such as: Is there a demand for such a product in the market? Would this product have a meaningful impact? Is there proof that such a product would benefit the targeted stakeholders?

**Improved with Precise Principle:**

In this section, we evaluate if the Order Processing System can be a viable and useful product by determining the factors and context in which it can be successfully used. Afterwards, we will look over the use cases of the system. We can ask ourselves questions such as: Is there a demand for an Order Processing product in the market? Would this product have a meaningful impact? Is there proof that such a product would benefit the targeted stakeholders?

The paragraph was changed to remove ambiguity with words such as “this”. This helps the reader know exactly what we are referring to in the sentences.

**Original:**

We agreed on using the Django 4 framework. The other option for the main framework – that wasn’t chosen – was Flask. One of the reasons Django was chosen is that it is multi- threaded while Flask is single-threaded. Another reason for choosing Django is that it has more functionalities that are out-of-the-box and can be used. Further comparisons between the two can be found in Appendix C.

**Improved with Appropriate Register:**

We agreed on using the Django 4 framework. The other option for the main framework – that was not chosen – was Flask. One of the reasons Django was chosen is that it supports multi-threaded processing and scheduling while Flask is solely single-threaded. Another reason for choosing Django is that it has more core functionalities that can be useful. Further comparisons between the two can be found in Appendix C.

This paragraph was changed to correct an apostrophe contraction and to make the language slightly more technical, as this is a report.

**Original:**

For the backend, we agreed on using Python as the main programming language. The choice of choosing Python is one connected to the non-functional requirements that we were provided by the client. There are also a few advantages of using Python for the backend of the application.

**Improved with Concise Principle:**

For the backend, we agreed on using Python as the main programming language. This choice is connected to the non-functional requirements that we were provided by the client. There are also few advantages of using this language for the backend of the application.

For this paragraph we removed unnecessary repetition of the language name. Since the paragraph is about the choice of using Python, we believe that mentioning it by name once at the start and later referring to it as “this language” is better. This makes the paragraph more concise and easier to read.